

# **HIJESRT**

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

## A CASE STUDY OF HYBERBOLIC COOLING TOWER UNDER SEISMIC LOADS

IqbalHafeez Khan\*, Rakesh Patel, Aslam Hussain

Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Sagar Institute of Research Technology and Science, bhopal. Faculty, Department of Civil Engineering, Sagar Institute of Research Technology and Science, bhopal. Faculty, Department of Civil Engineering, University Institute of Technology (UIT-RGPV), Bhopal.

## ABSTRACT

Hyperbolic cooling towers are large, thin shell reinforced concrete structures which contribute to environmental protection and to power generation efficiency and reliability. The safety of hyperbolic cooling towers is important to the continuous operation of a power plant. It is observed from the analysis that maximum displacement, support reactions, support moments, stresses and bending moments in plates due to seismic loading on a hyperbolic cooling tower is continuous function of geometry (top diameter, throat diameter and height). earthquake zone plays the important role in analysis. So from the above work it can be observed that 300 thickness, throat diameter 64m and height 150 m is much efficient among all but if height is mandatory to extent than height should not be more than 159m (height taken from actual work). and 170 m height is critical.

**KEYWORDS**: Hyperbolic cooling tower, seismic forces, node displacement, shear force etc.

## INTRODUCTION

Hyperbolic cooling towers are large, thin shell reinforced concrete structures which contribute to environmental protection and to power generation efficiency and reliability. The cooling tower shell is supported by a truss or framework of columns bridging the air inlet to the tower foundation. The two loading types affect different parts of the structure. While the earthquake activates the entire 360° cross section, the wind load tends to concentrate its influence over only about 180°. This has a marked effect upon the amplification of the loading forces into the meridional shell forces. Following prominent literature reviews-

**Gupta** (1996) reviewed that the safety of hyperbolic cooling towers is important to the continuous operation of a power plant. Depending upon the site, earthquake may govern the design of the tower. Methods of seismic analysis have been presented. It is concluded that the response spectrum method of analysis is of maximum practical use. A method to construct the design response spectra for various earthquake zones is presented. An earthquake motion consists of three components; however, it is shown that designing for one horizontal component only is adequate.

**T** Aksu(1998) showed that the Column supported hyperboloid cooling towers are analyzed with a finite element formulation including the effects of thickness shear deformations and the term z/R. Both shell and columns are modeled by using the same curved trapezoidal finite element with 40 degrees of freedom. The stress concentration at the shell column junctions is studied by taking into account the effect of the column support width.

**Dieter Buschet.***al* (2005) reviewed that In the years 1999 to 2001 a new natural draft cooling tower has been built at the RWE power station at Niederaussem, with 200 m elevation the highest cooling tower world-wide. For many reasons, such structures cannot be designed merely as enlargement of smaller ones, on the contrary, it is full of innovative new design elements. The present paper starts with an overview over the tower and a description of its geometry, followed by an elucidation of the conceptual shape optimization. The structural consequences of the flue gas inlets through the shell at a height of 49 m are explained as well as the needs for an advanced high performance concrete for the wall and the fill construction. Further, the design and structural analysis of the tower is described with respect to the German codified safety concept for these structures. Finally, the necessity of extended durability of this tower is commented.



**Zingoni***et.al*(2005) worked on Damage, deterioration and the long-term structural performance of cooling-tower shells from the issues of response to short-term loading and immediate causes of collapse in the early part of this period, to the issues of deterioration phenomena, durability and long-term performance in more recent times.

**Norton** *et. al.*(2006) studied the effect of asymmetric imperfection of the earthquake response of hyperbolic cooling tower. A linear computer program was used to evaluate several towers. The result showed that the bending stresses produced by the imperfection can be substantial fraction of the conventional membrane stresses.

Case Number	Height (m)	Top diameter (m)	Thickness (mm)
Case 1	159	64	300
Case 2	159	64	400
Case 3	159	70	300
Case 4	159	70	400

<i>i ubic 1. Cuses in curinquane sone 1</i>	Table 1:	Cases	in	earthquake	zone	IV
---	----------	-------	----	------------	------	----

Table 2:Case	es in	earthquake	zone	V
--------------	-------	------------	------	---

Case Number	Height (m)	Top diameter (m)	Thickness (mm)
Case 5	159	64	300
Case 6	159	64	400
Case 7	159	70	300
Case 8	159	70	400

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The results & Conclusion in this paper are of the existing cooling tower of height 159m

## 2.1 Displacement

2.1.1 Displacements in Earthquake zone IV

Table 3: Comparison of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 300mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst)

Height	Node Displacement for 64m & 70 m									
of	throat diameter cooling tower									
Cooling Tower	64m Throat diameter	70m Throat diameter								
159	33.620	31.947								





Fig. 1: Graph of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 300mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst)under earthquake zone IV

Table 4:Com	narison (	of nodal dis	nlacement o	f cooling to	ower diameter	400mm	$(Max^mRst)$
1 4010 1.0011		j nouur un	pracentent o		mer alameter	10011111	(Inter Itse)

Height of Cooling	Node Displacement for 64m & 70 m throat diameter cooling tower							
Iower	64m Throat		70m	Throat				
	diameter		diameter					
159	33.093		31.619					

Fig 2: Graph of of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 400mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst) under earthquake zone IV



#### 2.1.2 Displacements in Earthquake zone V

#### Table 5: Comparison of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 300mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst)

Height of	Node Displacement for 64m & 70 m throat diameter cooling tower						
Cooling Tower	64m Throat diameter	70m Throat diameter					
159	41.639	41.078					





Fig. 3: Graph of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 300mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst)under earthquake zone V

Tahle	6.	Com	narison	of r	ndal	dicn	lacomont	of	cooling to	nwor	diameter	400mm	(Mar	m Rst)
<i>i avie</i>	0.	Com	parison	υjn	ioaai	uısp	исетет	υj	cooning to	Jwer	aumeier	40011111	(wiax	(ASI)

Height	Node Displacement for 64m & 70 m							
of	throat diameter cooling tower							
Cooling	64m Throat diameter 70m Throat diameter							
Tower								
159	40.943	40.635						



Fig. 4: Graph of nodal displacement of cooling tower diameter 400mm (Max<sup>m</sup>Rst)under earthquake zone V

#### **2.2 Support Reaction**

Table 7 Comparison of support reactions for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone IV





Fig. 5 Graphofsupport reaction of cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone IV

Table &	Comparison	of support	reactions	for throat	diameter	70 m	under	eismic 70	no IV
I unie o	comparison	oj suppori	reactions	or mout	uumeter	70 m	unuer		neiv

			Cummo	at Departies					
			Suppo	Support Reactions (KN)					
	Height (	Df	Coolir	ng Tower	Cooling 7	Tower			
	Cooling		with	300mm	with 40	00mm			
	Tower		thickn	ess	thickness				
	159	S	upploft 7	R <del>&amp;</del> adtions (	KA03557.148	3			
Η	eight Of								
C	ooling								
T	ower	С	ooling	Tower	Cooling	Tower			
		w	ith	300mm	with	400mm			
		th	ickness		thickness				
15	59	30	5762.70	3	49015.842				



ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785



Fig. 6 Graph of support reaction of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone IV

Table 9 Comparison of support reactions for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone V

		Support R	Support Reactions (KN)				
Height	Of	Cooling	Tower	Cooling	Tower		
Cooling Tower		with	300mm	with	400mm		
		thickness		thickness			
159		40148.738	3	53530.219	)		



Fig. 7 Graph of support reaction f cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone V

Table 10Comparison of support reactions for throat diameter 70 m under seismic zone V

	Support Reactions (KN)				
Height Of	Cooling	Tower	Cooling	Tower	
Cooling Tower	with	300mm	with	400mm	
	thickness		thickness		



ISSN: 2277-9655



Fig. 8 Graph of support reaction of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone V

## 2.3 Support Moments

Table 11 Comparison of support moments for throat diameter 64m under seismic zone IV



Fig.9 Graph of support momentof cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone



## ISSN: 2277-9655

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

 Table 12 Comparison of support moments for throat diameter 70m under seismic zone IV

	Support Moment (KN-m)				
Height Of Cooling Tower	Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness	Cooling Tower with 400mm thickness			
159	29337.629	39637.191			

Table 13Comparison of support moments for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone



Fig. 10 Graph of support moment of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone IV



#### **ISSN: 2277-9655**

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

 Table 14Comparison of support moments for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone V



Fig. 11 Graph of support moment of cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone V

	Table	15	Com	parison	of	sup	port	t mon	ients	for	throat	diamet	er 64	1 m	under	seismic	zone	V
--	-------	----	-----	---------	----	-----	------	-------	-------	-----	--------	--------	-------	-----	-------	---------	------	---

Height Of Cooling Tower	Support Moment (KN-m)				
	Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness	Cooling Tower with 400mm thickness			
159	19019.438	25934.945			





Fig. 12 Graph of support moment of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone V

## 2.4 Membrane Stresses

Table 16Comparison of membrane stresses for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone IV

		Membrane Stress	$s (N/mm^2)$
Height	Of		
Cooling			
Tower		Cooling Tower	Cooling Tower
		with 300mm	with 400mm
		thickness	thickness
159		5.001	4.873



Fig. 13 Graph of membrane stresses of cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone IV





#### Table 17Comparison of membrane stresses for throat diameter 70 m under seismic zone IV





Table 18 Comparison of membrane stresses in plates for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone V

Membrane Stress (N/mm²)			
Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness	Cooling Tower with 400mm thickness		
5.474	5.470		
	Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness 5.474		





Fig. 15 Graph of membrane stresses of cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone V

Table 19 Comparison of membrane stresses in plates for throat diameter 64 m under seismic zone V

	Bending Moment (KN-m/m)			
Height Of Cooling Tower	Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness	Cooling Tower with 400mm thickness		
159	14.311	25.783		



http://www.ijesrt.com

© International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



Fig. 16 Graph of membrane stresses of cooling tower diameter 300mm under earthquake zone V

#### 2.5 Bending Moment in plates

Table 20 Comparison of bending moments in plates for throat diameter 64m under seismic zone IV

		Bending Moment (KN-m/m)				
Height	Of	Cooling	Tower	Cooling	Tower	
Cooling Tower		with	300mm	with	400mm	
		thickness		thickness		
159		11.645		20.981		



Fig. 17 Graph of bending momentin plates of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone IV

Table 21Comparison of bending moments in plates for throat diameter 64m under seismic zone IV

	Bending Moment	(KN-m/m)
Height Of		
Cooling Tower		
	Cooling Tower	Cooling Tower
	with 300mm	with 400mm
	thickness	thickness
159	8.087	14.448





Fig. 18 Graph of bending momentin plates of cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone IV

Table	22Comparison	of bending	moments in plates	for throat diameter	· 64 m under seismic zone V	7
-------	--------------	------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	---

	Bending Moment (KN-m/m)			
Height Of Cooling Tower	Cooling Tower with 300mm thickness	Cooling Tower with 400mm thickness		
159	14.311	25.783		





Fig. 19 Graph of bending momentof cooling tower diameter 400mm under earthquake zone V

## CONCLUSION

- In the present study, the particular case of 159m high chimney is based on the live case and the results analysed for different parameters are most adorable as compared to 150m & 170m high chimneys
- Maximum nodal displacement
- a) , for constant thickness & throat diameter, on increasing height of the structure, the resultant of nodal displacement increases.
- b) for constant thickness, the resultant of nodal displacement decreases as height & throat diameter of the structure increases.
- c) The cooling tower of all the three considered heights with 64m throat diameter having higher displacements as compared to the cooling towers with 70m throat diameter for 300mm & 400mm thicknesses respectively.
- d) Higher values of nodal displacements are found in seismic zone V as compared to the values in seismic zone IV.

## • Maximum support reaction;

- a) for constant thickness & throat diameter, on increasing height of the structure, the support reaction increases.
- b) The cooling tower of all the three considered heights with 64m throat diameter having lower support reactions as compared to the cooling towers with 70m throat diameter for 300mm & 400mm thicknesses respectively.
- c) the combination of 150m cooling tower, 64m throat diameter with 400mm thickness are giving the higher values of support reactions.
- d) Higher values of support reactions are found in seismic zone V as compared to the values in seismic zone IV.
- e) The cooling tower having 159m height with all other parametric combinations having least values of support reactions.

## • Maximum support moment

- a) for constant thickness & throat diameter, on increasing height of the structure, the support moments decreases.
- b) The cooling tower of all the three considered heights with 64m throat diameter having lower support moments as compared to the cooling towers with 70m throat diameter for 300mm & 400mm thicknesses respectively.
- c) the combination of 159m cooling tower, 64m throat diameter with 400mm thickness are giving the lower values of support moments.
- d) Higher values of support moments are found in seismic zone V as compared to the values in seismic zone IV.

## • Maximum shear stress in plates;



### [Khan\*, 5(1): January, 2016]

#### ISSN: 2277-9655

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

- a) shear stresses in plates of the hyperbolic cooling towers are found to approximately equal.
- Maximum membrane stress in plates;
- a) for constant thickness & throat diameter, on increasing height of the structure, the membrane stresses in plates found increasing.
- b) for constant thickness, membrane stresses in plates increases as height & throat diameter of the structure increases.
- c) Higher values of membrane stresses in plates are found in seismic zone V as compared to the values in seismic zone IV.
- d) The percentage change in the values of membrane stresses in plates is negligible.
- Maximum bending moment in plates;
- a) for constant thickness & throat diameter, on increasing height of the structure, the bending moment in plates increases.
- b) The cooling tower of all the three considered heights with 64m throat diameter having lower bending moment in plates as compared to the cooling towers with 70m throat diameter for 300mm & 400mm thicknesses respectively.
- c) Higher values of bending moment in plates are found in seismic zone V as compared to the values in seismic zone IV.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] IS 1893 (Part 2&3): 2002," Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures", Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [2] Seismic analysis and design of hyperbolic cooling tower by A.K.GUPTA sergeant and lundy, Chicago Illinois 60603 USA. Pg 1-10.
- [3] IS 11504-1985, Criteria for structural design of RCC natural draft cooling towers, BIS new delhi.
- [4] Types and forms of shell structures An idea book for designers, Pg 1-33.
- [5] Scawthorn"EarthquakeEngineering"StructuralEngineering Handbook Ed. Chen Wai-Fah Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC, 1999
- [6] Gould, P.L. and Kratzig, W.B. "Cooling Tower Structures" Structural Engineering Handbook Ed. Chen Wai-Fah Boca Raton, CRC Press LLC, 1999
- [7] Abu-Sitta SH, Davenport AG. Earthquake design of cooling towers. Journal of the Structural Division, Pg 132-139.
- [8] Sollenberger NJ, Scanlan RH, Billington DP. Journal of the Structural Division 1980, Pg 601–607.
- [9] Static and dynamic analysis of structures" A physical approach with emphasis on earthquake engineering, Pg 111-225.
- [10] Kratzig, W.B. and Zhuang, Y. 1992. Collapse simulation of reinforced natural draught cooling towers, Eng. Struct., Pg 291-299.
- [11] Seismic Analysis and Design of Hyperbolic Cooling Towers by ajaya k. gupta, William Courtney schnobrich publication, Pg 23-71.
- [12] W Khan, S Akhtar, A Hussain, Non Linear time History Analysis of Tall Structure for Seismic Load using Damper, International Journal Of Scientific And Research Publication, Vol 4, Issue 4, (2014).
- [13] U Arya, A Hussain, W Khan, Wind Analysis of Building Frames on sloping ground, International Journal Of Scientific And Research Publication, Vol 4, Issue 5, (2014).
- [14] ST Hussain , A Hussain , Vibration Analysis of Composite Beam with Cracks , International Journal of Engineering Associates , Vol 4 , Issue 9 , (2015).
- [15] J Chajlani , A Hussain , Application of FRP in Concerte Structures ,International Journal of Engineering associates , Vol 4 , Issue 8, (2015)
- [16] S T Hussain, A Hussain, Numerical Analysis of composite beam by using Ansys A Review, International Journal of Engineering Associates, Vol 4, Issue 9, (2015)
- [17] J Chajlani, A Hussain, Analysis of repairs and rehabilitation of RCC Structures, International Journal of Engineering Associates, Vol 4 Issue 8, (2015)
- [18] IH Khan, R patel, A Hussain, "Parametric Analysis of hyperbolic cooling tower under seismic loads through Staad.Pro" International Research Journal of Engineering and technology, Volume 2, Issue 9, (2015)